

available at www.sciencedirect.comwww.elsevier.com/locate/brainresrev
**BRAIN
RESEARCH
REVIEWS**

Review

Noradrenergic modulation of arousal

Craig W. Berridge*

Psychology Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 12 October 2007

Available online 4 December 2007

Keywords:

A1

A2

Cognition

Locus coeruleus

Norepinephrine

Sleep

Psychostimulant

Stress

Waking

ABSTRACT

Through a highly divergent efferent projection system, the locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system supplies norepinephrine throughout the central nervous system. State-dependent neuronal discharge activity of locus coeruleus neurons has long-suggested a role of this system in the induction of an alert waking state. More recent work supports this hypothesis, demonstrating robust wake-promoting actions of the locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system. Norepinephrine enhances arousal, in part, via actions of β - and α_1 -receptors located within multiple subcortical structures, including the general regions of the medial septal area and the medial preoptic areas. Recent anatomical studies suggest that arousal-enhancing actions of norepinephrine are not limited to the locus coeruleus system and likely include the A1 and A2 noradrenergic cell groups. Thus, noradrenergic modulation of arousal state involves multiple noradrenergic systems acting within multiple subcortical regions. Pharmacological studies indicate that the combined actions of these systems are necessary for the sustained maintenance of arousal levels associated with spontaneous waking. Enhanced arousal state is a prominent aspect of both stress and psychostimulant drug action and evidence indicates that noradrenergic systems likely play an important role in both stress-related and psychostimulant-induced arousal. These and other observations suggest that the dysregulation of noradrenergic neurotransmission could well contribute to the dysregulation of arousal associated with a variety of behavioral disorders including insomnia and stress-related disorders.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Choice of experimental approach.	2
3. Noradrenergic modulation of arousal.	4
3.1. Evidence for an arousal-promoting action of the LC.	4
3.2. Site of action: NE acts at β - and α_1 -receptors within the medial septal and medial preoptic areas, but not the substantia innominata, to promote alert waking.	4
3.2.1. Wake-promoting actions of NE β - and α_1 -receptors within the MSA and MPOA	5
3.2.2. Neurocircuitry within the MSA and MPOA associated with NE-induced waking	5
3.2.3. Involvement of β_2 -receptors in NE-induced waking	7
3.2.4. NE does not act within the SI to promote waking	7

* Fax: +1 608 262 4029.

E-mail address: Berridge@wisc.edu.

3.3.	Contrasting actions of MSA/MPOA β -receptor blockade in anesthetized vs. unanesthetized animals	8
3.4.	Origin and organization of the noradrenergic innervation of the MSA, MPOA and SI.	8
3.5.	Additional regions involved in NE-dependent waking	9
3.6.	Synergistic actions of β - and α_1 -receptors in the maintenance of alert waking	10
3.7.	Potential sleep-promoting actions of α_1 -receptors within the MPOA	11
4.	Noradrenergic modulation of cortical and thalamic neuronal activity state, <i>in vitro</i>	11
5.	Noradrenergic modulation of circadian-dependent activity	11
6.	The LC–NE system modulates state-dependent gene expression	12
7.	Involvement of NE in stress-related arousal.	12
8.	Involvement of NE in psychostimulant-induced arousal.	12
9.	Broader behavioral actions of norepinephrine	13
10.	Summary.	13
	Acknowledgments	13
	References	13

1. Introduction

The ability to detect, attend to, and respond to events is an essential aspect of normal behavior and, ultimately, survival. Conversely, sleep and the accompanying relative insensitivity to environmental events are similarly important for optimal functioning and survival. As such, the regulation of arousal is critical, with both too little and too much proving detrimental. Though many definitions are possible, for the purposes of this review, the term arousal refers to a continuum of sensitivity to environmental stimuli. Following this definition, arousal fluctuates greatly between sleeping and waking states as well as within waking (i.e. drowsy vs. hyperaroused) (Aston-Jones et al., 1998; Davis, 1997). Importantly, affective, cognitive and perceptual processes display a pronounced dependency on arousal state. For example, the inverted-U relationships between arousal and learning, attention, and working memory have been well-documented (i.e. the Yerkes–Dodson law) (Arnsten and Li, 2005; Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005; Yerkes and Dodson, 1908). Through this, fluctuations in arousal level can have a substantial impact on a variety of cognitive and perceptual processes.

Alterations in arousal state are accompanied by alterations in forebrain neuronal activity, reflected in electroencephalographic (EEG) signals (Makeig and Inlow, 1993; McCormick and Bal, 1997; Timo-Iaria et al., 1970; Vanderwolf and Robinson, 1981). The formal examination of the neural mechanisms underlying arousal dates back to the work of Von Economo (1930), Bremer (1937) and Moruzzi and Magoun (1949), which identified a critical role of the brainstem in the induction and maintenance of arousal. Given the pivotal role that arousal plays in behavior, it is not surprising that a large array of brainstem and basal forebrain neural systems participate in the regulation of behavioral state. Included among these is the locus coeruleus (LC)–noradrenergic system.

The LC is the major source of brain NE (Foote et al., 1983). NE acts at three major receptor families, α_1 , α_2 , and β , each comprised of multiple subtypes. α_1 - and β -receptors are thought to exist primarily postsynaptically whereas, α_2 -receptors are present both pre- and postsynaptically. Early electrophysiological observations indicated that the LC–noradrenergic system may play an important role in the regulation of arousal/

behavioral state (reviewed in Foote et al., 1983; Foote and Morrison, 1987). For example, LC neurons display highest discharge rates during waking and lower rates during sleep (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981; Foote et al., 1980; Hobson et al., 1975). Importantly, alterations in LC discharge rate precede changes in behavioral state (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981; Foote et al., 1980; Hobson et al., 1975). Combined, these observations suggest a potential causal role of the LC in the regulation of arousal. As reviewed below, this question has been the focus of a large number of studies, utilizing a variety of experimental approaches. Results obtained from studies conducted over the past two decades provide relatively unambiguous evidence for a prominent arousal-promoting role of the LC and other brain noradrenergic systems. These observations are the focus of this review.

2. Choice of experimental approach

Prior to a review of experimental observations regarding arousal-enhancing actions of the LC–noradrenergic system, it is useful to review the general approaches available for studying arousal-promoting actions of a neurotransmitter system. In the examination of a causal role of a neurotransmitter system in the modulation of arousal, two general approaches are available. The first examines the effects of enhancing the activity of that neurotransmitter, while the second examines the effects of blocking transmitter action. Importantly, each approach addresses fundamentally distinct questions. The first examines the extent to which a neurotransmitter is *sufficient* to induce a change in arousal state; the second examines the extent to which the transmitter is *necessary* for the maintenance of an aroused state. The neural regulation of arousal appears to involve substantial redundancy, with multiple systems exerting wake-promoting actions (Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003; Pape and McCormick, 1989; Vanderwolf and Robinson, 1981). Presumably because of this redundancy, inactivation of any single neurotransmitter system often has minimal effects on sleep–wake state. As such, negative effects of neurotransmitter-selective antagonists and/or lesions on sleep and waking need to be interpreted cautiously. Given this, the approach most likely to yield unambiguous evidence is the examination of

whether a transmitter's action is sufficient to increase arousal. Of course, with this approach it is important to use baseline conditions characterized by relatively low arousal levels and experimental procedures that do not non-specifically elevate arousal levels (i.e. handling-related waking/stress).

An early-used approach to examine the involvement of the LC in the regulation of sleep–wake state was the use of electrolytic or neurotoxic (6-OHDA/DSP-4) lesions of the LC–noradrenergic system. Though a reasonable sounding approach, work since then demonstrates a robust ability of noradrenergic and dopaminergic systems to mount compensatory responses that reduce the functional impact of a lesion. Most of these compensatory responses occur within 7–10 days of the lesion, a commonly used time point for testing the behavioral effects of the lesion. Importantly, microdialysis studies demonstrate that extracellular levels of NE and DA are not reduced substantially unless tissue levels have been decreased by more than 90% (Abercrombie and Zigmond, 1989; Castaneda et al., 1990; Robinson et al., 1990; Robinson et al.,

1994; Robinson and Whishaw, 1988). Moreover, even when tissue levels have been reduced by at least 90%, the decrease in extracellular levels of these transmitters (reflected in microdialysis measures) is substantially less than that observed in tissue measures (i.e. >90% depletion of tissue levels vs. 60% reduction in extracellular levels). Given lesions also increase postsynaptic receptor number and second messenger levels (Fritschy and Grzanna, 1992; Hallman and Jonsson, 1984; Harik et al., 1981; Logue et al., 1985; Skolnick et al., 1978; Sporn et al., 1977), the functional impairment associated with these larger lesions (>90% tissue depletion) is likely to be less than predicted by the decrease in extracellular NE levels. Given the robust nature of these compensatory responses, it is not surprising that following substantial (though incomplete) destruction of the LC efferent system, hyperactive, rather than hypoactive, noradrenergic function has been observed (Berridge and Dunn, 1990; Diaz et al., 1978; Mogilnicka, 1986). These latter observations suggest that even in the presence of a statistically-significant behavioral/physiological effect of a noradrenergic




Fig. 1 – Effects of LC activation on cortical EEG (ECoG) activity state. In this experiment, the LC was first located electrophysiologically using a combined recording–infusion probe in the halothane-anesthetized rat. Once located, a small infusion of the cholinergic agonist, bethanechol was made adjacent to the LC. The effect of this infusion-induced activation of the LC on ECoG was monitored. **A:** Schematic of the infusion/recording probe used to activate LC. Bethanechol was infused 300–400 μm lateral or medial to the recording electrode that was used to locate the main body of the LC. **B:** Photomicrograph of a peri-LC infusion site. N indicates position within the track created by the infusion needle where the infusate exited the needle. E indicates position of the recording electrode within the LC. **C:** Effects of bethanechol infusion on LC activity and ECoG activity (recorded from the prefrontal cortex). ECoG activity is displayed in the top trace and the raw trigger output from LC activity in the bottom trace. Bethanechol infusion (indicated by horizontal bar) increased LC discharge rate approximately two-thirds of the way through the 60-second infusion. Several seconds following LC activation, an abrupt onset of EEG desynchronization is observed (see Berridge and Foote, 1991).

lesion, care needs to be exercised in the interpretation of this effect.

3. Noradrenergic modulation of arousal

3.1. Evidence for an arousal-promoting action of the LC

A variety of lesion and pharmacological studies were initially conducted to assess whether there exists a causal relationship between LC neuronal activity and arousal (for review, [Vanderwolf and Robinson, 1981](#)). In general, lesions of noradrenergic systems have had an inconsistent impact on EEG and behavioral indices of arousal ([Jones et al., 1973](#); [Jouvet, 1972](#); [Lidbrink, 1974](#); [Lidbrink and Fuxe, 1973](#); [Vanderwolf and Robinson, 1981](#), likely reflecting the occurrence of lesion-induced compensation within the LC–NE system. Consistent with this conclusion, [Lidbrink \(1974\)](#) reported that 6-OHDA lesions of the dorsal noradrenergic bundle increased slow-wave EEG activity initially, but that this effect disappeared approximately 7 days following the lesion.

In contrast to that observed with noradrenergic lesions, acute suppression of LC–NE neurotransmission by systemic, ICV, or intrabrainstem administration of α_2 -agonists results in profound sedation ([De Sarro et al., 1987](#); [Gatti et al., 1988](#); [Itil and Itil, 1983](#); [Laverty and Taylor, 1969](#); [Waterman et al., 1988](#)). Conversely, infusion of NE intracerebroventricularly or into forebrain sites is behaviorally activating ([Flicker and Geyer, 1982](#); [Segal and Mandell, 1970](#)). Despite the consistency of these observations, due to the small size of the LC and the close proximity of this nucleus to other brainstem arousal-related nuclei, it is difficult to unambiguously identify the LC as the site of action involved in these drug-induced changes in behavioral state.

To more selectively alter LC discharge activity, [Adams and Foote \(1988\)](#) developed a combined recording/infusion probe that uses electrophysiological recordings to locate the LC, place small infusions (35–150 nl) of pharmacological agents within close proximity to this nucleus, and monitor the effects of these infusions on LC neuronal discharge rate. Using this probe the effects of selective alterations in LC discharge rate on forebrain EEG activity were examined in halothane-anesthetized rats ([Berridge and Foote, 1991](#); [Berridge et al., 1993](#)). In these studies, unilateral LC activation (via infusion of the cholinergic agonist, bethanechol) produced a bilateral and relatively rapid (within 5–10 s) activation of forebrain EEG in the halothane-anesthetized rat (see [Figs. 1 and 2](#)). A number of observations indicate that the infusion-induced alterations in LC discharge were responsible for the activation of the forebrain, rather than actions distant to the LC. These include: 1) the fact that EEG activation was prevented by pretreatment with drugs that impair NE neurotransmission (an α_2 -agonist and a β -antagonist); 2) infusions only activated forebrain EEG if they were placed within a radius of approximately 500 μ m of the center of the LC ([Fig. 2](#)); 3) a similar latency between LC activation and EEG activation occurred regardless of whether infusions were placed lateral or medial to the LC; and 4) infusions placed anterior or posterior to the LC did not alter forebrain EEG activity state.

Additional studies in the lightly-anesthetized rat, characterized by a spontaneously activated (desynchronized) forebrain




Fig. 2 – Schematic diagram depicting bethanechol infusion sites that were effective or ineffective for activating forebrain EEG. Solid circles indicate sites at which bethanechol infusion activated the EEG. Crossed boxes indicate sites at which bethanechol infusion had no obvious EEG effects. The center of the infusion site is indicated by these symbols. There is a radius of approximately 500 μ m around LC within which infusions, placed either medially or laterally, activated forebrain EEG. Infusions placed immediately anterior to the LC were also ineffective (not shown). Abbreviations: Me5, mesencephalic nucleus of the trigeminal nerve; Mo5, motor nucleus of the trigeminal nerve; 4 V, fourth ventricle (see [Berridge and Foote, 1991](#)).

EEG, demonstrated that bilateral suppression of LC neuronal discharge (via small infusions of an α_2 -agonist) produced a robust increase in slow-wave EEG activity ([Fig. 3](#); [Berridge et al., 1993](#)). Importantly, in these studies even minimal LC neuronal discharge activity within a single hemisphere (i.e. 5–10% of basal levels) was sufficient to maintain bilateral forebrain activation. This is likely an important factor in why LC/noradrenergic lesions, which almost certainly never reduce completely extracellular NE levels (see above), do not result in large alterations in time spent awake.

These observations indicate that, under these experimental conditions (the halothane-anesthetized rat), LC neuronal activity is both sufficient and necessary for the maintenance of an activated forebrain.

3.2. Site of action: NE acts at β - and α_1 -receptors within the medial septal and medial preoptic areas, but not the substantia innominata, to promote alert waking

LC efferents could act at a variety of cortical and subcortical sites to modulate forebrain EEG. Subcortically, the general regions of the medial septal area (MSA), the medial preoptic area (MPOA), and the substantia innominata (SI) participate in the regulation of forebrain EEG activity state ([Buzsaki et al., 1988](#); [Buzsaki et al., 1983](#); [Kumar et al., 1984](#); [Metherate et al., 1992](#); [Saper et al.,](#)




Fig. 3 – Effects of bilateral suppression of LC discharge in the lightly-anesthetized rat. Shown are the effects of bilateral clonidine infusions (100 ng in 100 nl) that resulted in the complete bilateral suppression of LC discharge activity. Shown are 25-second raw EEG traces for cortical EEG (ECoG) and hippocampal EEG (HEEG) along with the results of power spectral analysis (PSA; graphs below the raw traces) calculated on an 8-min epoch from which the 25-second EEG trace was taken. Data from pre-infusion (left column) and post-infusion (right column) periods are displayed. The most striking post-infusion changes in the ECoG are the increase in the slowest frequencies, and in the HEEG, the dramatic reduction in theta activity and the appearance of mixed-frequency activity. Shading in the PSA plots indicates the theta frequency band (2.3–6.9 Hz) in the HEEG power spectra (see Berridge et al., 1993).

2005; Szymusiak et al., 2007). Each of these regions receives LC-noradrenergic input (Zaborszky, 1989; Zaborszky and Cullinan, 1996; Zaborszky et al., 1991). In a series of intratissue infusion studies, the EEG and behavioral state-modulatory actions of NE within these regions were examined in anesthetized and unanesthetized rats (Berridge et al., 1996; Berridge and Foote, 1996; Berridge et al., 2003; Berridge and O'Neill, 2001). In these studies, small (150–250 nl), remote-controlled infusions of either NE, an α_1 -agonist (phenylephrine) or a β -agonist (isoproterenol) were made in sleeping animals. This approach permits examining the behavioral state-modulatory actions of intratissue infusions from a stably low-arousal state (i.e. sleeping) while avoiding the need to handle the animal to perform an infusion (see Berridge and Foote, 1996).

3.2.1. Wake-promoting actions of NE β - and α_1 -receptors within the MSA and MPOA

Using this approach, intratissue infusion of β - or α_1 -receptor agonists into the MSA (Figs. 4 and 7) or MPOA (situated immediately caudal to the MSA; Figs. 4–7) produces robust and dose-dependent increases in EEG activation in anesthetized animals and increases EEG/EMG and behavioral indices of waking in unanesthetized animals (Berridge et al., 1996; Berridge and Foote, 1996; Berridge et al., 2003; Berridge and O'Neill, 2001; Kumar et al., 1984; Sood et al., 1997). Infusions immediately outside these regions are devoid of wake-promoting actions (Berridge et al., 1996; Berridge and Foote, 1996; Berridge et al., 2003; Berridge and O'Neill, 2001). Importantly,

the wake-promoting actions of β - and α_1 -receptors within both the MSA and MPOA are additive (Fig. 7; Berridge et al., 2003).

In contrast to the above-reviewed studies that document potent wake-promoting effects of α_1 -agonist infusion into the MPOA, minimal sleep-wake effects of intra-MPOA infusion of the α_1 -agonist, methoxamine, have also been observed (Vetrivelan et al., 2005; Vetrivelan et al., 2006a). Although the basis for this apparent discrepancy is unclear, possible contributing factors include an elevated baseline level of waking and/or greater tissue damage within the MPOA around the infusion site in these more recent studies (Vetrivelan et al., 2005).

3.2.2. Neurocircuitry within the MSA and MPOA associated with NE-induced waking

The terms MSA and MPOA refer to relatively large and heterogeneous structures, each containing multiple subnuclei. The anatomical resolution of previous mapping studies (approximately 500 μ m) precludes identification of the specific subnuclei involved in the wake-promoting actions of NE within these general regions. Given this, currently, the neurocircuitry within the MSA and MPOA involved in the wake-promoting actions of NE is not known.

Within the MPOA and MSA (as well as SI) evidence indicates the presences of both wake-promoting and sleep-promoting neuronal populations. For example, electrophysiological studies indicate that within these regions there are neurons that




Fig. 4 – Boundaries defining the general regions of the MSA (top row) and MPOA (bottom row) within which NE acts to promote waking. Previous intratissue infusion mapping studies with NE and various direct and indirect NE agonists indicate that NE acts within a nearly continuous portion of the medial basal forebrain that spans the anterior–posterior extent of the MSA and MPOA, indicated by the dashed line. The region termed the MSA encompasses the medial septum, the vertical limb of the diagonal band of Broca, the posterior portions of the shell region of the nucleus accumbens. The region termed the MPOA encompasses the preoptic area of the hypothalamus and portions of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BST). Previous mapping studies suggest that the shell accumbens or BST are not prominently involved in NE-induced waking. Infusions outside the MSA and MPOA are generally ineffective at increasing waking. Panels are arranged anterior–posterior with the anterior-most panel shown in the upper left and the posterior-most panel shown in the bottom right position. Photomicrographs are of infusion sites from experiments involving NE agonist infusions into the MSA (top image) and MPOA (bottom image). In these photomicrographs, the arrows indicate the most ventral extent of the infusion needle. AC, anterior commissure; CC, corpus callosum; CP, caudate-putamen; GP, globus pallidus; I, internal capsule; LS, lateral septum; LV, lateral ventricle; MS, medial septum; NA nucleus accumbens; SI, substantia innominata.

are active primarily during waking whereas other neurons are primarily active during sleep (Saper et al., 2005; Szymusiak et al., 2007). Within the MPOA, sleep-active neurons are found within both the median preoptic nucleus (MnPN) and the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus (VLPO). The majority of sleep-active neurons synthesize GABA or galanin, or both (Saper et al., 2005; Szymusiak et al., 2007). Moreover, within the SI and MPOA, sleep-active neurons have been demonstrated to express α_2 -receptors (Manns et al., 2003; Modirrousta et al., 2004). Further, α_2 -receptor stimulation inhibits sleep-active neurons with the general region of the MSA and MPOA (Osaka and Matsumura, 1995). Similarly, *in vitro* studies indicate an inhibitory action of NE on GABAergic neurons contained within the VLPO (Gallopini et al., 2000). Thus, the wake-promoting actions of NE may involve the α_2 -receptor-dependent inhibition of sleep-active neurons. However, this mechanism does not explain the wake-promoting effects of intra-MSA and intra-MPOA infusions of α_1 - and β -agonists.

Results from previous noradrenergic agonist mapping studies suggest that the VLPO is not a primary site of action in the wake-promoting effects of intra-MPOA NE-agonist infusions (Berridge and O'Neill, 2001). Nonetheless, α_1 - and

β -receptors located within the VLPO may well modulate sleep–wake state, an issue that remains to be addressed. In contrast to the VLPO, the MnPN is situated well within the general area targeted in the previous intra-MPOA infusion studies. Currently, the extent to which NE acts within the MnPN vs. immediately outside this nucleus to promote waking is not known. Electrophysiological studies demonstrated that neither an α_1 - or β -agonist affected sleep-active neurons within the general regions of the MPOA and MSA (Osaka and Matsumura, 1995). In contrast, α_1 -agonist administration, but not β -agonist, increased the activity of a subpopulation of waking-active neurons (Osaka and Matsumura, 1995).

Within the MSA, evidence indicates that the activity of projections from both GABAergic and cholinergic neurons combines to influence hippocampal EEG activity (Colom et al., 1991). Given the MSA also projects to the neocortex (Marston et al., 1994; Saper, 1984; Stewart et al., 1985), NE could modulate hippocampal and cortical EEG activity state via actions on either of these ascending pathways. Alternatively, NE could influence EEG and behavioral activity state via alterations in efferent pathways that project to subcortical arousal-related regions, such as the hypothalamus, thalamus, or midbrain. Such actions




Fig. 5 – Wake-promoting effects of NE infusion into the MPOA. Shown are the effects of NE (1.2 μ g (4 nmol)/150 nl) infusions into the MPOA on ECoG and electromyographic activity (EMG) from a typical experiment. Shown are 10-min traces of ECoG and EMG recorded immediately prior to (top traces, PRE NE) and beginning 10-min following (bottom traces, POST NE) NE infusion into MPOA. Prior to the infusion, the animal spent the majority of time in slow-wave sleep (resting with large-amplitude, slow-wave activity present in ECoG and low-amplitude activity present in EMG). The most striking post-infusion changes are the decrease in large-amplitude, slow-wave ECoG activity and the increase in EMG amplitude, indicative of alert, active waking (see [Berridge and O'Neill, 2001](#)).

could involve direct projections from the MSA to these regions ([Cunningham et al., 1993](#); [Meibach and Siegel, 1977](#); [Swanson and Cowan, 1979](#)) or indirect via hippocampal efferents ([Ino et al., 1988](#); [Swanson and Cowan, 1977](#); [Walaas and Fonnum, 1980](#)).

To date, the extent to which α_1 - and β -receptors are differentially distributed across neurochemically-defined (i.e. cholinergic vs. GABAergic) or behaviorally-defined (i.e. sleep-active vs. waking-active) neuronal subpopulations within both the MSA and MPOA remains to be determined. If the wake-promoting effects of α_1 - and β -receptors involve actions on the same cell, at least two mechanisms exist through which these receptors could interact to exert additive arousal-modulating actions. First, these receptors could exert independent and additive effects on membrane potential via parallel actions on second messenger systems (e.g. phosphoinositol vs. cAMP). Additionally, evidence indicates that α_1 -receptors can potentiate β -receptor-mediated cAMP production ([Stone et al., 1987](#)).

Finally, the above-reviewed observations indicate that NE promotes alert waking via actions within anatomically distinct subregions (MSA vs. MPOA) that have traditionally been associated with dissimilar behavioral functions. The wake-promoting actions of NE within the MSA and MPOA may result from direct actions on arousal-modulating circuits. Alternatively, these regions may have evolved to support distinct, and possibly highly divergent behavioral processes, each requiring an alert waking state. In this case, each region may send efferents to arousal-controlling circuits to ensure coordination of the appropriate behavioral state with the state-dependent behavioral and/or physiological functions subserved by each region.

Of relevance to this discussion, the MPOA has been linked to temperature regulation, including temperature fluctuations associated with sleep and waking ([Krulowicz et al., 1994](#); [McGinty et al., 2004](#)). Moreover, intra-MPOA infusion of the α_1 -agonist, methoxamine, decreases body temperature while, intra-MPOA infusion of the α_1 -antagonist, prazosin, increases body temperature ([Vetrivelan et al., 2006b](#)). Interestingly, animals treated with intra-MPOA infusion of the α_1 -agonist, methoxamine, choose a warmer environment if that option is provided ([Vetrivelan et al., 2005](#)). Under these latter conditions methoxamine was observed

to no longer increase waking and instead appeared to suppress time spent awake (see 3.7 below for further discussion). Though there are a number of issues that need to be considered regarding these latter observations (see 3.7 below), they nonetheless suggest the possibility that the sleep-wake modulating effects of MPOA NE may, in part, be related to actions of NE on body temperature. This hypothesis however contradicts previous studies that described a dissociation of temperature-modulating and behavioral state-modulating actions of various noradrenergic agonists and antagonists ([Mallick and Alam, 1992](#)). Based on a series of pharmacological manipulations involved in these latter studies, it was concluded that α_1 , β - and α_2 -receptors play a differential role in the regulation of body temperature (α_1) and sleep-wake state (α_2 and β). However, this hypothesis conflicts with the prominent wake-promoting actions of MPOA α_1 -receptor stimulation described above. Clearly, additional work is needed to clarify the role of different MPOA NE receptor subtypes in the regulation of body temperature vs. waking and the relationship between these processes.

3.2.3. Involvement of β_2 -receptors in NE-induced waking

Three subtypes of β -receptors have been identified: β_1 – β_3 ([Engel et al., 1985](#); [Minneman et al., 1979](#); [Nisoli and Carruba, 1997](#)). Intra-tissue infusions of the β_2 -agonist, clenbuterol, into either the MSA or the MPOA produce dose-dependent increases in time spent awake ([Berridge et al., 2005](#)). Although clenbuterol possesses weak β_1 -antagonistic properties ([Conway et al., 1987](#)), β_1 -antagonist infusion into the MSA or MPOA had no impact on sleep-wake state ([Berridge et al., 2005](#)). Thus, it is concluded that clenbuterol-induced waking observed in these studies results from the stimulation of β_2 -receptors.

3.2.4. NE does not act within the SI to promote waking

The SI, situated immediately lateral to both the MSA and MPOA (see [Fig. 4](#)), provides a potent activating influence on EEG, in part through the actions of cholinergic projections to the neocortex ([Buzsaki et al., 1988](#); [Metherate et al., 1992](#)). These projections act in parallel with projections from the MSA to regulate the activity state of the neocortex and hippocampus.




Fig. 6 – Wake-promoting effects of intra-MPOA infusion of NE, α_1 -agonist and β -agonist. Top panel displays the effects of vehicle, 4 nmol NE, or 16 nmol NE, infused into either the MPOA or SI on total time spent awake. Bottom panel displays the effects of the α_1 -agonist, phenylephrine (PHEN; 40 nmol), and the β -agonist, isoproterenol (ISO; 15 nmol), infused into the MPOA or SI on total time spent awake. Symbols represent mean (\pm SEM) of time (seconds) spent awake in 30-min epochs. PRE1 and PRE2 represent 30-min pre-infusion epochs occurring immediately prior to the infusion. POST1–POST3 represent 30-min post-infusions epochs, beginning immediately following the infusions. NE, phenylephrine and isoproterenol increased waking when infused to the MPOA. In contrast, these treatments had little impact on time awake when infused into the SI. The only exception to this was observed with the highest dose of NE. In this case the latency to waking was longer and the magnitude of waking was smaller than that observed with infusions into the MPOA. Lack of visible error bars indicates the magnitude of the SEM fell within the range corresponding to the dimensions of the symbol. There were no significant differences between any of the groups during the pre-infusion epochs. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ compared to vehicle-treated controls (see Berridge and O'Neill, 2001).

Thus, it was initially posited that NE-driven activation of hippocampal and cortical EEG involved the simultaneous actions of NE within the MSA and SI, respectively (Berridge et al., 1996). In contrast to this hypothesis, infusion of NE, phenylephrine (α_1 -agonist), isoproterenol (β -agonist), or the indirect noradrenergic agonist, amphetamine has no significant impact on time spent awake when infused into the SI (Berridge et al., 1996; Berridge and Foote, 1996; Berridge et al., 1999; Berridge and O'Neill, 2001). The only exception to this is observed with the highest concentration of NE examined (15 μ g/250 nl) which produced a moderate increase in waking (Fig. 6; Berridge and O'Neill, 2001; Cape and Jones, 1998). However, in this case, the latency to waking was substantially longer and the time spent awake substantially reduced, relative to infusion into the MPOA (Berridge and O'Neill, 2001). The general lack of EEG altering

effects of NE agonist infusions into SI is in contrast to the robust EEG-activating effects of glutamate infusions into SI (Berridge et al., 1996; Methner et al., 1992). The most parsimonious explanation for these observations is that the SI is relatively insensitive to the arousal-promoting actions of NE and that at high concentrations NE diffuses from the SI to the MPOA where it acts to increase waking.

3.3. Contrasting actions of MSA/MPOA β -receptor blockade in anesthetized vs. unanesthetized animals

The above-described studies demonstrate that MSA β -receptors exert an arousal-enhancing action. Moreover, in the halothane-anesthetized animal, bilateral blockade of MSA β -receptors decreases EEG activation observed following pharmacologically-induced LC activation and in the lightly-anesthetized preparation (Berridge and Wifler, 2000). These observations indicate that in the anesthetized animal MSA β -receptors are necessary for forebrain EEG activation. Whether this is true of MPOA β -receptors remain to be determined. In contrast to that observed in the anesthetized animal, in the unanesthetized rat, bilateral blockade of MSA β -receptors (Berridge and Wifler, 2000) or MPOA β -receptors (Kumar et al., 1984) does not alter EEG or behavioral indices of arousal. As mentioned, the suppression of LC–NE neurotransmission globally, using ICV or intrabrainstem-administered α_2 -agonists, decreases EEG and behavioral indices of arousal (Danysz et al., 1989; De Sarro et al., 1988). The lack of sedative effects of bilateral MSA/MPOA β -receptor blockade likely reflects the wake-promoting actions of: 1) α_1 -receptors within these regions; and 2) α_1 - and β -receptors located outside these regions. Thus, in contrast to that observed in the presence of anesthesia, the wake-promoting actions of MSA β -receptors are redundant in the unanesthetized state. It is important to emphasize that redundancy does not imply irrelevance: under normal conditions, stimulation of MSA β -receptors will promote alert waking and this will have behaviorally important consequences.

3.4. Origin and organization of the noradrenergic innervation of the MSA, MPOA and SI

The above-described observations indicate that LC neurons exert a robust excitatory influence on forebrain activity state through actions of NE within the MSA and MPOA. Nonetheless, there are a number of noradrenergic nuclei that could provide input to these regions and, thus, participate in the modulation of behavioral state. Recent retrograde tracing studies indicate that the LC provides the majority of noradrenergic input to the MSA, MPOA and SI (approx. 50%). Nonetheless, these studies further demonstrate that substantial noradrenergic projections to these three regions also arise from the A1/C1 (approx. 25%) and A2/C2 (approx. 25–40%) cell groups (Table 1). These observations suggest a likely arousal-promoting role of the A1/C1 and the A2/C2 noradrenergic/adrenergic nuclei.

In terms of LC projections, basal forebrain-projecting noradrenergic neurons are largely distributed uniformly within the LC (España and Berridge, 2006). This contrasts with a rough topographic distribution of LC neurons projecting to neocortex (except the prefrontal cortex), hippocampus, cerebellum, and spinal cord (Loughlin et al., 1986). Moreover, additional




Fig. 7 – Additive wake-promoting effects of α_1 - and β -agonist receptor stimulation within the MSA and MPOA. Top panel depicts dose-dependent wake-promoting actions of infusion of the α_1 -agonist, phenylephrine (PHEN; 10 nmol, 50 nmol in 150 nl), into the MSA. Intra-MSA infusion produced dose-dependent increases in waking. Middle and bottom panels depict the effects of infusion of vehicle, 10 nmol phenylephrine (Phen), 4 nmol of the β -agonist, isoproterenol (Iso; 4 nmol) and combined phenylephrine and isoproterenol (Combined). For both regions, when administered separately at these doses each drug had a mild wake-promoting action. In the combined treatment group, the wake-promoting effects of isoproterenol and phenylephrine appeared additive but not supra-additive. Symbols represent means (\pm SEM) of time (seconds) spent awake per 30-min testing epoch. PRE1 and PRE2 represent pre-infusion portions of the experiment. POST1–POST3 represent post-infusions epochs. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$ compared to PRE1; * $P < 0.05$ compared to Combined (see Berridge et al., 2003).

observations indicate that individual LC neurons display a high of collateralization across these three arousal-related basal forebrain regions, ensuring that alterations in LC discharge are relayed simultaneously to these regions (España and Berridge, 2006). Combined, these observations suggest that LC efferents are organized to permit coordinated actions across multiple anatomically distinct, yet functionally-related (i.e. arousal-related), basal forebrain fields.

As mentioned, a prominent difference between SI and both MSA and MPOA is that the SI is relatively insensitive to the wake-promoting actions of NE and NE agonists (Berridge and

O'Neill, 2001). Nonetheless, *in vitro*, NE depolarizes cholinergic basal forebrain neurons, indicating a neuromodulatory role of NE within SI (Fort et al., 1995). To date, the behavioral/cognitive functions of NE within the SI remain to be fully elucidated. However, evidence indicates a role of the SI in state-dependent attentional processes (for review, see Sarter and Bruno, 1999). Moreover, noradrenergic α_1 -receptors within the SI appear to participate in the priming effect of systemic epinephrine administration on the cerebral auditory evoked potential (Berntson et al., 2003). Thus, NE likely acts within the SI to modulate state-dependent behavioral/cognitive processes, such as attention (for review, see Sarter and Bruno, 1999). The high degree of collateralization across the MSA, MPOA and SI permits the LC to simultaneously modulate behavioral state while modulating SI-dependent behavioral and physiological processes.

3.5. Additional regions involved in NE-dependent waking

The above-reviewed observations suggest a prominent role of the MSA and MPOA in stimulant-induced arousal. The actions of NE within these subcortical regions occur in conjunction with the activating actions of NE directly within cortical and thalamic neurons (McCormick et al., 1991; see below). Moreover, a variety of additional brainstem-originating systems are associated with the regulation of behavioral state including serotonergic, dopaminergic, cholinergic, and histaminergic systems (Buzsaki et al., 1983; Isaac and Berridge, 2003; Lin et al., 1986; Mochizuki et al., 1992; Trampus and Ongini, 1990; Vanderwolf, 1988; Vanderwolf and Robinson, 1981). These systems are highly interconnected and in many cases have been demonstrated to exert reciprocally activating effects (Aston-Jones et al., 1991; Dringenberg et al., 1998a; Dringenberg et al., 1998b; Stevens et al., 1993; Stevens et al., 1994; Tononi et al., 1988). To date, the extent to which NE acts on these other neurotransmitter systems to modulate behavioral state has not been examined systematically. However, via actions within the dorsal pontine tegmentum β -receptors have been demonstrated to inhibit REM sleep (Tononi et al., 1988; Tononi et al., 1989).

Additionally, the lateral hypothalamus (LH) has been implicated in the regulation of sleep–wake state. In particular, substantial evidence suggests prominent arousal-promoting actions of the neuropeptide family, hypocretin (orexin) and hypocretin-synthesizing neurons are located solely in the perifornical region of the LH (España et al., 2001; Sutcliffe and de Lecea, 2002). The LH receives a moderately dense noradrenergic innervation (Baldo et al., 2003), although most of this arises from outside the LC (Yoshida et al., 2005). In recently initiated studies, wake-promoting actions of the α_1 -agonist, phenylephrine, were observed when infused into the LH, but not when infused immediately outside this region (Berridge and Schmeichel, 2007). A variety of additional regions beyond those mentioned above participate in the modulation of arousal, including the central nucleus of the amygdala (Silvestri and Kapp, 1998). Thus, the current list of known sites involved in NE-dependent modulation of waking is likely to be expanded in the future. Combined, available information indicates that the noradrenergic modulation of arousal involves coordinated actions of NE, arising from the LC and other noradrenergic nuclei

Table 1 – Distribution of retrogradely-labeled dopamine- β -hydroxylase-immunoreactive (DBH-ir) neurons across select noradrenergic nuclei following retrograde tracer infusion into select basal forebrain regions (MSA, MPOA and SI)

Region	Number of DBH-ir neurons per region	% DBH-ir neurons retrogradely-labeled per region	% of all DBH-ir neurons retrogradely-labeled
MSA			
LC	52.3 \pm 7.4	23.6 \pm 2.7	56.8 \pm 5.8
SubC	7.7 \pm 10.8	1.1 \pm 0.7	0.5 \pm 0.3
A1/C1	12.7 \pm 0.8	14.3 \pm 2.4	23.6 \pm 4.9
A2/C2	14.5 \pm 1.7	9.6 \pm 5.3	16.0 \pm 2.5
A4	3.9 \pm 0.5	0.0 \pm 0.0	0.0 \pm 0.0
A5	6.2 \pm 0.7	4.9 \pm 1.3	1.8 \pm 1.0
A7	9.5 \pm 1.7	1.1 \pm 1.1	0.3 \pm 0.3
C3	5.3 \pm 0.7	1.5 \pm 1.5	1.0 \pm 1.0
MPOA			
LC	56.4 \pm 8.0	15.5 \pm 2.0	41.7 \pm 2.2
SubC	6.7 \pm 0.8	0.0 \pm 0.0	0.0 \pm 0.0
A1/C1	10.9 \pm 0.7	25.8 \pm 2.9	39.5 \pm 1.8
A2/C2	16.9 \pm 2.1	5.8 \pm 1.1	14.9 \pm 1.8
A4	2.6 \pm 0.3	0.0 \pm 0.0	0.0 \pm 0.0
A5	6.4 \pm 0.7	8.3 \pm 2.8	2.9 \pm 0.6
A7	6.6 \pm 1.7	2.0 \pm 2.0	0.3 \pm 0.3
C3	4.0 \pm 0.5	6.2 \pm 4.5	0.7 \pm 0.4
SI			
LC	57.5 \pm 9.0	16.1 \pm 2.4	46.8 \pm 2.5
SubC	7.5 \pm 1.2	0.0 \pm 0.0	0 \pm 0.0
A1/C1	11.5 \pm 0.9	12.9 \pm 2.1	24.8 \pm 2.9
A2/C2	15.3 \pm 2.0	9.58 \pm 1.7	21.1 \pm 3.3
A4	5.5 \pm 0.8	6.7 \pm 2.9	1.0 \pm 0.1
A5	9.2 \pm 0.7	5.4 \pm 1.2	6.0 \pm 2.4
A7	6.8 \pm 2.6	1.4 \pm 1.4	0.4 \pm 0.4
C3	4.5 \pm 0.5	0.0 \pm 0.0	0 \pm 0.0

Animals received an iontophoretic infusion of the retrograde tracer fluorogold (FG) into one three regions: MSA, MPOA, and SI (Region). Tissue was processed for simultaneous immunohistochemical visualization of DBH-ir (the NE synthetic enzyme) and FG. The number and percentage of retrogradely-labeled DBH-ir neurons within noradrenergic/adrenergic cell groups (LC(A6), subcoeruleus, A1/C1, A2/C2, A4, A5, A7, C3) were then measured (double-labeled neurons containing DBH-ir + FG). Shown are: 1) Mean number (\pm SEM) of DBH-ir neurons/noradrenergic/adrenergic nucleus; 2) percentage (\pm SEM) of DBH-ir neurons from each noradrenergic nucleus that were retrogradely-labeled from the respective basal forebrain region; and 3) percentage of the total number of DBH-ir neurons across all noradrenergic nuclei that were retrogradely-labeled within a given noradrenergic nucleus. For each basal forebrain region the majority of DBH-ir retrogradely-labeled neurons were observed within the LC and a lesser percentage within A1/C1 and A2/C2 (see [España and Berridge, 2006](#)).

(i.e. A1, A2), within a distributed network of subcortical structures.

3.6. Synergistic actions of β - and α_1 -receptors in the maintenance of alert waking

The above-reviewed observations indicate that stimulation of either α_1 - or β -receptors within any one of a number of brain regions is sufficient to induce the alert waking state. This then raises the question of whether combined actions of these receptors are necessary for the maintenance of normal alert waking. To initially address this issue, the EEG effects of β -receptor blockade (timolol infused intracerebroventricularly), α_1 -receptor blockade (prazosin, administered intraperitoneally), or combined β - and α_1 -receptor blockade were examined in rats under conditions associated with high arousal levels (a brightly-lit novel environment). These studies demonstrated profound increases in large-amplitude, slow-wave activity in cortical EEG in animals treated with combined β - and α_1 -antagonists ([Fig. 8; Berridge and España, 2000](#)). This increase in slow-wave activity is in contrast to the minimal EEG effects of β -antagonist treatment alone or the α_1 -antagonist-induced increase in sleep-spindles

([Fig. 8](#); see also [Buzsaki et al., 1991](#)). Therefore, under these conditions, combined blockade of β - and α_1 -receptors produces synergistic sedative effects.

Importantly, this sedative effect was not observed during the first 5-min of testing. Thus, under certain conditions of elevated arousal, combined actions of α_1 - and β -receptors are not necessary for the maintenance of EEG indices of arousal. These observations could suggest that the combined blockade of α_1 - and β -receptors increases the rate of habituation to an arousing, novel environment. Alternatively, initial exposure to the novel environment may involve actions of additional systems that are capable of supporting an activated forebrain in the absence of α_1 and β -receptor stimulation. It is important to note that behaviorally, although these animals were profoundly sedated following combined α_1 - and β -receptor blockade (i.e. nearly continuous slow-wave EEG activity), behaviorally they did not appear to be asleep. Specifically, the animals maintained sufficient postural tone to remain in an upright position, albeit with minimal movement. Thus, in the absence of α_1 -/ β -receptor action, either other NE receptors (i.e. α_2) or other neurotransmitter systems (i.e. cholinergic, histaminergic, serotonergic, etc.) maintain a certain degree of arousal. The sedating effect of




Fig. 8 – Synergistic sedative effects of α_1 - and β -receptor blockade. Shown are the effects of the β -antagonist, timolol (ICV), the α_1 -antagonist, prazosin (IP) and combined antagonist treatment on cortical EEG in animals exposed to an arousing brightly-lit novel environment. Animals were treated 30-min prior to testing with: 1) ICV vehicle + IP saline (VEH/VEH); 2) 150 μ g ICV timolol + IP saline (TIM/VEH); 3) ICV vehicle + 500 μ g/kg prazosin (VEH/PRAZ), and; 4) combined timolol + prazosin (TIM/PRAZ). In this figure, EEG traces are from the second 5-min epoch of exposure to the novel environment. Vehicle-treated controls displayed behavioral and EEG indices of alert waking throughout most of the recording session. This is reflected in sustained EEG desynchronization (low-amplitude, high-frequency). β -receptor blockade alone (TIM/VEH) had no effects on EEG activity. α_1 -receptor blockade alone (VEH/PRAZ) increased the frequency and duration of sleep spindles (high-voltage spindles). In contrast to that observed with β -receptor blockade alone, in the presence of α_1 -receptor blockade, β -receptor blockade produced substantial increases in large-amplitude, slow-wave activity. Power spectral analyses provide quantification of these qualitative observations (data not shown, see Berridge and España, 2000).

α_1 -receptor blockade may have relevance to the therapeutic effects of α_1 -antagonist in the treatment of post-traumatic stress sleep-related symptoms (Raskind et al., 2007; Taylor and Raskind, 2002). Moreover, decreased stimulation of α_1 - and β -receptors may participate in the well-documented anesthetic effects of α_2 -agonists (Nelson et al., 2003).

3.7. Potential sleep-promoting actions of α_1 -receptors within the MPOA

In contrast to the robust wake-promoting effects of NE agonists infused into the MPOA and MSA, 6-OHDA lesions of the ventral noradrenergic bundle have been reported to increase time spent awake (VNAB; Kumar et al., 1993). This has been interpreted as suggesting a potential sleep-promoting action of NE, particularly within the MPOA (for review see Kumar et al., 2007). However, given the above-reviewed information regarding multiple sources of the noradrenergic innervation to the MPOA (LC, A1, A2) and lesion-induced compensation, these effects of a VNAB lesion may well reflect lesion-induced upregulation of noradrenergic neurotransmission (and/or other transmitter systems) within the MPOA and/or other arousal-related structures.

Lesions of the VNAB also disrupted the wake-promoting actions of NE when infused into the MPOA during the day and

resulted in an NE-induced decrease in time spent awake when infused into the MPOA during the night (Kumar et al., 2006). Consistent with this latter observation, infusion of an α_1 -antagonist into the MPOA increased time spent awake (Vetrivelan et al., 2006a). Combined, these observations suggest possible arousal-attenuating actions of MPOA α_1 -receptors. Currently, it is not clear how to reconcile the robust wake-promoting effects of intra-MPOA NE, α_1 - and β -agonist infusions reported by others (Berridge et al., 2003; Berridge and O'Neill, 2001; Kumar et al., 1984) with these more recent observations. However, there are a number of issues to consider. First, these effects appear relatively modest compared to the wake-promoting effects of NE agonist infusions. Second, baseline arousal level in these latter studies appears higher and more labile than in previous studies utilizing NE agonists. Alterations in baseline arousal level across studies or within individual subjects could influence the arousal-modulating actions of NE. Third, when performing intra-tissue infusions, it is critical to minimize tissue damage. Available evidence, though limited, indicates that substantial tissue damage may have occurred within the MPOA in these more recent studies (see Vetrivelan et al., 2006a). This could well alter sensitivity to NE-selective drugs. Finally, as reviewed above, extensive evidence indicates a robust arousal-enhancing action of LC activation and intra-MPOA infusions of NE/NE agonists. Conversely, the acute inhibition of noradrenergic neurotransmission in intact animals has profound sedative effects. Thus, the functional significance of modest arousal-attenuating action of NE within the MPOA is unclear.

4. Noradrenergic modulation of cortical and thalamic neuronal activity state, in vitro

Cortical and thalamic neurons display distinct activity modes during sleeping and waking behavioral states. Thus, during slow-wave sleep, these neurons are hyperpolarized relative to that observed in waking and display a burst-type activity mode associated with a relative insensitivity to incoming signals. In contrast, waking is associated with a single-spike mode which permits efficient and accurate signal processing (Domich et al., 1986; McCarley et al., 1983; McCormick and Bal, 1997; Mukhametov et al., 1970a; Mukhametov et al., 1970b). The above-described electrophysiological studies demonstrate increased rates of NE release during conditions associated with the single-spike mode (i.e. waking), suggesting that LC efferents contribute to the induction of this activity state (Aston-Jones and Bloom, 1981; Foote et al., 1980). Consistent with this hypothesis, *in vitro*, NE induces a shift in the firing pattern of cortical and thalamic neurons from a burst mode to a single-spike mode via actions at α_1 -receptors and β -receptors (McCormick, 1989; McCormick and Prince, 1988; Pape and McCormick, 1989).

5. Noradrenergic modulation of circadian-dependent activity

LC neurons of anesthetized rats display a circadian-dependent activity (i.e. arousal-independent), with highest rates associated with the activity phase of the circadian cycle (Aston-Jones et al., 2001). Trans-synaptic retrograde tracing studies indicate that

the suprachiasmatic nucleus can influence the LC via the dorsomedial hypothalamus (Aston-Jones et al., 2001). Moreover, in these studies lesions of the dorsomedial hypothalamus eliminated circadian-dependent LC discharge activity. Finally, noradrenergic lesions altered circadian-dependent behavioral activity in the absence of anesthesia (Gonzalez and Aston-Jones, 2006). Combined, these observations indicate a role for the LC-noradrenergic system in circadian-dependent fluctuations in arousal/activity.

6. The LC-NE system modulates state-dependent gene expression

Waking is associated with an upregulation of certain genes within the neocortex (Cirelli et al., 2004; Cirelli et al., 1996; Cirelli and Tononi, 1998). Earlier studies indicated that unilateral lesions of the LC decrease expression of a subset of these genes in the ipsilateral neocortex (Cirelli et al., 1996). Subsequent studies demonstrated that DSP-4-induced lesion of the LC-NE system reduced transcription levels of approximately 20% of 95 identified waking-related genes in the neocortex (Cirelli and Tononi, 2004). The majority of lesion-affected genes are associated with synaptic plasticity and/or stress responsiveness. In contrast to that observed with gene transcription, and consistent with previous NE-lesion studies, DSP-4 treatment did not affect time spent awake, despite a reduction of tissue NE levels of at least 80%. These observations indicate that there exist different activity-response curves for LC regulation of arousal vs. neocortical gene transcription. As reviewed above, the minimal effects on time spent awake of the DSP-4 lesions likely reflect two distinct, yet related mechanisms. First, in the anesthetized rat, sedative effects of LC inhibition are not observed until LC neuronal discharge is suppressed greater than 95% (Berridge et al., 1993). Second, DSP-4 lesions spare non-LC-noradrenergic neurons, which provide a significant noradrenergic innervation to basal forebrain arousal-related regions (España and Berridge, 2006).

These observations are consistent with additional evidence demonstrating stimulatory actions of NE on a variety of immediate-early genes (IEGs). For example, systemic administration of an α_2 -antagonist, which increases NE efflux, increases mRNA and protein levels for a variety of IEGs in rat cerebral cortex (Bing et al., 1991; Gubits et al., 1989). Additionally, direct infusion of NE into the cortex (Stone et al., 1991) or amygdala (Stone et al., 1997) also increases expression of the IEG, *c-fos*. Similar effects on IEG expression are observed with exposure of animals to stress, which increases LC discharge and NE release (Bing et al., 1992; Bing et al., 1991; Gubits et al., 1989). The activating effects of pharmacologically- or stressor-induced increases in NE neurotransmission on IEG expression are attenuated with pretreatment of either β - or α_1 -antagonists (Bing et al., 1992; Bing et al., 1991; Gubits et al., 1989; Stone et al., 1997; Stone et al., 1991) as well as (in the case of stress) LC lesions (Stone et al., 1993). Additional studies implicate the β_1 -receptor subtype in β -dependent activation of *c-fos* (Stone and Zhang, 1995).

These observations indicate a significant impact of the LC on state-dependent expression of plasticity-related genes.

Such actions likely play an important role in optimizing learning, memory and behavioral plasticity during the waking state.

7. Involvement of NE in stress-related arousal

The view of stress as a behavioral and physiological state elicited by challenging or threatening events arises from nearly a century of research starting with the seminal work of Cannon (1914) and Selye (1946). This early work identified the activation of peripheral catecholamine systems and the pituitary–adrenal axis as defining features of stress. Combined, the activation of these systems facilitates contending with a challenging situation. More recent work implicates central catecholamines in stress, including the LC-noradrenergic system (Berridge, 2005; Valentino et al., 1998). Although the affective and cognitive features of stress are less-well defined, a heightened level of readiness for action appears to be paramount to the state of stress. A prominent component of this preparatory state is an elevated level of arousal.

The LC-noradrenergic system displays a pronounced sensitivity to stressors (Berridge, 2005; Dunn, 1988; Valentino et al., 1998). The above-reviewed information indicates a prominent role of central noradrenergic systems in the regulation of arousal. Thus, it is posited that an activation of these systems plays a prominent role in the induction of elevated arousal levels in stress. Consistent with this hypothesis, bilateral suppression of LC discharge blocks stressor-induced EEG activation in the halothane-anesthetized rat (Page et al., 1992; Page et al., 1993; Valentino et al., 1991). As discussed above, the stress-related modulation of arousal by noradrenergic systems can indirectly influence a variety of cognitive and affective processes. Additional actions of NE within specific affect- and cognition-related circuits are likely to more directly impact cognitive and affective components of stress as well.

Substantial evidence indicates that activation of the prototypical stress systems (hypothalamo–pituitary–adrenal axis as well as peripheral and central catecholaminergic systems) occurs across both aversive and appetitive conditions (for review, Marinelli and Piazza, 2002). This suggests the working hypothesis that at least a subset of the physiological indices of stress may be independent of affective valence (pleasant vs. unpleasant) and more closely aligned with arousal level, motivational state, and/or the need for action. In this context, noradrenergic modulation of behavioral state (and state-dependent cognition) may serve a critical function in stress-related behavior while not being unique to stress.

8. Involvement of NE in psychostimulant-induced arousal

Psychostimulants exert profound arousal-enhancing actions. Neurochemically, these drugs increase rates of NE and DA neurotransmission. Surprisingly, the neural mechanisms involved in psychostimulant-induced arousal have not been well-characterized. The above-reviewed observations indicate robust wake-promoting effects of NE via actions within multiple subcortical sites. Combined, these observations suggest the

involvement of NE in the arousal-promoting actions of psychostimulants. Substantial evidence supports this hypothesis (for review, [Berridge, 2006](#)). This includes the fact that, similar to that of NE, amphetamine acts within the MSA and MPOA, but not the SI, to promote alert waking ([Berridge et al., 1999](#)). In general, amphetamine-induced waking observed in these studies resembles normal waking, and is not associated with increases in locomotor activity and/or stereotypy. Additionally, microdialysis studies demonstrate a close association between amphetamine-induced waking and amphetamine-induced increases in extracellular levels of NE and DA ([Berridge and Stalnaker, 2002](#)). Recent observations suggest that the wake-promoting actions of psychostimulants are most closely associated with drug-induced increases in NE efflux outside the prefrontal cortex (i.e. MSA, MPOA) that are equal to or greater than 100% above quiet-resting levels ([Berridge 2006; Berridge and Stalnaker, 2002](#)). Finally, providing evidence for a causal role of NE in psychostimulant-induced arousal, pretreatment with a β -antagonist dose-dependently blocked amphetamine-induced EEG activation in halothane-anesthetized rats. Consistent with the above-reviewed observations, β -antagonist pretreatment had no effect on amphetamine-induced arousal in unanesthetized animals ([Lin et al., 1992](#)).

9. Broader behavioral actions of norepinephrine

This review has focused on one critical aspect of noradrenergic function: the induction of an appropriate behavioral state for the detection of environmental events (i.e. waking). Nonetheless, it is important to note that NE-induced arousal occurs in tandem with additional modulatory actions of NE on a large variety of physiological and behavioral processes, including endocrine regulation, perception, motor function, attention and memory, and decision and action ([Arnsten, 1997; Aston-Jones and Cohen, 2005; Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003; Hurlemann, 2006; Lapid et al., 2007; McGaugh, 2000; Milstein et al., 2007](#)). These actions involve multiple cortical and subcortical noradrenergic terminal fields and noradrenergic receptors. A unifying theme to the diversity of these actions is the facilitation of the collection, processing, and responding to salient information arising from an ever-changing environment.

10. Summary

The regulation of arousal is a critical aspect of normal behavior. As such, it is not surprising that multiple systems have evolved to regulate arousal state. The above-described observations indicate a prominent role of the LC and other noradrenergic systems in the regulation of arousal state. Specifically, these systems promote alert waking via actions of α_1 - and β -receptors located within multiple subcortical regions. Based on available experimental evidence, it appears that under normal physiological conditions even moderate activity of the LC–NE system are incompatible with the state of sleep. Given this, excessive activity of this system may contribute to certain forms of insomnia or other conditions associated with elevated arousal levels, including stress-related disorders, such as PTSD. There is extensive evidence that NE modulates directly neural circuitry

associated with cognition and affect (for review, [Berridge and Waterhouse, 2003](#)). However, affective and cognitive processes also display a pronounced sensitivity to arousal state. Thus, in addition to direct modulatory actions on cognition- and affect-related neurocircuitry, the LC–noradrenergic system may influence cognitive and affective processes indirectly via the modulation of arousal.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by PHS grants MH62359, DA10681, DA00389 and the University of Wisconsin Graduate School.

REFERENCES

- Abercrombie, E.D., Zigmond, M.J., 1989. Partial injury to central noradrenergic neurons: reduction of tissue norepinephrine content is greater than reduction of extracellular norepinephrine measured by microdialysis. *J. Neurosci.* 9, 4062–4067.
- Adams, L.M., Foote, S.L., 1988. Effects of locally infused pharmacological agents on spontaneous and sensory-evoked activity of locus coeruleus neurons. *Brain Res. Bull.* 21, 395–400.
- Arnsten, A.F., 1997. Catecholamine regulation of the prefrontal cortex. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 11, 151–162.
- Arnsten, A.F., Li, B.M., 2005. Neurobiology of executive functions: catecholamine influences on prefrontal cortical functions. *Biol. Psychiatry* 57, 1377–1384.
- Aston-Jones, G., Cohen, J.D., 2005. An integrative theory of locus coeruleus–norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 28, 403–450.
- Aston-Jones, G., Bloom, F.E., 1981. Activity of norepinephrine-containing locus coeruleus neurons in behaving rats anticipates fluctuations in the sleep–waking cycle. *J. Neurosci.* 1, 876–886.
- Aston-Jones, G., Chen, S., Zhu, Y., Oshinsky, M.L., 2001. A neural circuit for circadian regulation of arousal. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 732–738.
- Aston-Jones, G., Rajkowski, J., Ivanova, S., Usher, M., Cohen, J., 1998. Neuromodulation and cognitive performance: recent studies of noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons in behaving monkeys. *Adv. Pharmacol.* 42, 755–759.
- Aston-Jones, G., Akaoka, H., Charlety, P., Chouvet, G., 1991. Serotonin selectively attenuates glutamate-evoked activation of noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 11, 760–769.
- Baldo, B.A., Daniel, R.A., Berridge, C.W., Kelley, A.E., 2003. Overlapping distributions of orexin/hypocretin- and dopamine-beta-hydroxylase immunoreactive fibers in rat brain regions mediating arousal, motivation, and stress. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 464, 220–237.
- Berntson, G.G., Shafi, R., Knox, D., Sarter, M., 2003. Blockade of epinephrine priming of the cerebral auditory evoked response by cortical cholinergic deafferentation. *Neuroscience* 116, 179–186.
- Berridge, C.W., 2006. Neural substrates of psychostimulant-induced arousal. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 31, 2332–2340.
- Berridge, C.W., 2005. The locus coeruleus–noradrenergic system and stress: modulation of arousal state and state-dependent behavioral processes. In: Steckler, T., Kalin, N.H., Reul, J.M.H.M. (Eds.), *Handbook of Stress and the Brain. Part I: The Neurobiology of Stress*. Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, pp. 437–464.

- Berridge, C.W., Waterhouse, B.D., 2003. The locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system: modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 42, 33–84.
- Berridge, C.W., Stalnaker, T.A., 2002. Relationship between low-dose amphetamine-induced arousal and extracellular norepinephrine and dopamine levels within prefrontal cortex. *Synapse* 46, 140–149.
- Berridge, C.W., O'Neill, J., 2001. Differential sensitivity to the wake-promoting actions of norepinephrine within the medial preoptic area and the substantia innominata. *Behav. Neurosci.* 115, 165–174.
- Berridge, C.W., España, R.A., 2000. Synergistic sedative effects of noradrenergic $\alpha(1)$ - and β -receptor blockade on forebrain electroencephalographic and behavioral indices. *Neuroscience* 99, 495–505.
- Berridge, C.W., Wifler, K., 2000. Contrasting effects of noradrenergic β -receptor blockade within the medial septal area on forebrain electroencephalographic and behavioral activity state in anesthetized and unanesthetized rat. *Neuroscience* 97, 543–552.
- Berridge, C.W., Foote, S.L., 1996. Enhancement of behavioral and electroencephalographic indices of waking following stimulation of noradrenergic β -receptors within the medial septal region of the basal forebrain. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 6999–7009.
- Berridge, C.W., Foote, S.L., 1991. Effects of locus coeruleus activation on electroencephalographic activity in neocortex and hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 11, 3135–3145.
- Berridge, C.W., Dunn, A.J., 1990. DSP-4-induced depletion of brain norepinephrine produces opposite effects on exploratory behavior 3 and 14 days after treatment. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 100, 504–508.
- Berridge, C.W., Stellick, R.L., Schmeichel, B.E., 2005. Wake-promoting actions of medial basal forebrain β 2 receptor stimulation. *Behav. Neurosci.* 119, 743–751.
- Berridge, C.W., Isaac, S.O., España, R.A., 2003. Additive wake-promoting actions of medial basal forebrain noradrenergic $\alpha(1)$ - and β -receptor stimulation. *Behav. Neurosci.* 117, 350–359.
- Berridge, C.W., O'Neil, J., Wifler, K., 1999. Amphetamine acts within the medial basal forebrain to initiate and maintain alert waking. *Neuroscience* 93, 885–896.
- Berridge, C.W., Bolen, S.J., Manley, M.S., Foote, S.L., 1996. Modulation of forebrain electroencephalographic activity in halothane-anesthetized rat via actions of noradrenergic β -receptors within the medial septal region. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 7010–7020.
- Berridge, C.W., Page, M.E., Valentino, R.J., Foote, S.L., 1993. Effects of locus coeruleus inactivation on electroencephalographic activity in neocortex and hippocampus. *Neuroscience* 55, 381–393.
- Berridge, C.W., Schmeichel, B.E., 2007. Norepinephrine acts within the lateral hypothalamus to promote waking: relevance to psychostimulant-induced arousal. *Soc. Neurosci. Abst.* 736.21.
- Bing, G., Stone, E.A., Zhang, Y., Filer, D., 1992. Immunohistochemical studies of noradrenergic-induced expression of c-fos in the rat CNS. *Brain Res.* 592, 57–62.
- Bing, G.Y., Filer, D., Miller, J.C., Stone, E.A., 1991. Noradrenergic activation of immediate early genes in rat cerebral cortex. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 11, 43–46.
- Bremer, F., 1937. Cerebral activity during sleep and narcosis: contribution to the study of the mechanisms of sleep. *Bull. Acad. R. Med. Belg.* 4, 240–275.
- Buzsaki, G., Kennedy, B., Solt, B.V., Ziegler, M., 1991. Noradrenergic control of thalamic oscillation: the role of α -2 receptors. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 3, 222–229.
- Buzsaki, G., Bickford, R.G., Ponomareff, G., Thal, L.J., Mandel, R., Gage, F.H., 1988. Nucleus basalis and thalamic control of neocortical activity in the freely moving rat. *J. Neurosci.* 8, 4007–4026.
- Buzsaki, G., Leung, L.W., Vanderwolf, C.H., 1983. Cellular bases of hippocampal EEG in the behaving rat. *Brain Res.* 287, 139–171.
- Cannon, W.B., 1914. The emergency function of the adrenal medulla in pain and the major emotions. *Am. J. Physiol.* 33, 356–372.
- Cape, E.G., Jones, B.E., 1998. Differential modulation of high-frequency gamma-electroencephalogram activity and sleep-wake state by noradrenaline and serotonin microinjections into the region of cholinergic basal ganglia neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 2653–2666.
- Castaneda, E., Whishaw, I.Q., Robinson, T.E., 1990. Changes in striatal dopamine neurotransmission assessed with microdialysis following recovery from a bilateral 6-OHDA lesion: variation as a function of lesion size. *J. Neurosci.* 10, 1847–1854.
- Cirelli, C., Tononi, G., 2004. Locus coeruleus control of state-dependent gene expression. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 5410–5419.
- Cirelli, C., Tononi, G., 1998. Differences in gene expression between sleep and waking as revealed by mRNA differential display. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 56, 293–305.
- Cirelli, C., Gutierrez, C.M., Tononi, G., 2004. Extensive and divergent effects of sleep and wakefulness on brain gene expression. *Neuron* 41, 35–43.
- Cirelli, C., Pompeiano, M., Tononi, G., 1996. Neuronal gene expression in the waking state: a role for the locus coeruleus. *Science* 274, 1211–1215.
- Colom, L.V., Nassif-Caudarella, S., Dickson, C.T., Smythe, J.W., Bland, B.H., 1991. In vivo intrahippocampal microinfusion of carbachol and bicuculline induces theta-like oscillations in the septally deafferented hippocampus. *Hippocampus* 1, 381–390.
- Conway, P.G., Tejani-Butt, S., Brunswick, D.J., 1987. Interaction of β adrenergic agonists and antagonists with brain β adrenergic receptors in vivo. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 241, 755–762.
- Cunningham, J.T., Nissen, R., Renaud, L.P., 1993. Norepinephrine injections in diagonal band of Broca selectively reduced the activity of vasopressin supraoptic neurons in the rat. *Brain Res.* 610, 152–155.
- Danysz, W., Dyr, W., Plaznik, A., Kostowski, W., 1989. The effect of microinjections of clonidine into the locus coeruleus on cortical EEG in rats. *Pol. J. Pharmacol. Pharm.* 41, 45–50.
- Davis, M., 1997. Neurobiology of fear responses: the role of the amygdala. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 9, 382–402.
- De Sarro, G.B., Bagetta, G., Ascoti, C., Libri, V., Nistico, G., 1988. Microinfusion of clonidine and yohimbine into locus coeruleus alters EEG power spectrum: effects of aging and reversal by phosphatidylserine. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 95, 1278–1286.
- De Sarro, G.B., Ascoti, C., Froio, F., Libri, V., Nistico, G., 1987. Evidence that locus coeruleus is the site where clonidine and drugs acting at α 1- and α 2-adrenoceptors affect sleep and arousal mechanisms. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 90, 675–685.
- Diaz, J., Ellison, G., Masouka, D., 1978. Stages of recovery from central norepinephrine lesions in enriched and impoverished environments: a behavioral and biochemical study. *Exp. Brain Res.* 31, 117–130.
- Domich, L., Oakson, G., Steriade, M., 1986. Thalamic burst patterns in the naturally sleeping cat: a comparison between cortically projecting and reticularis neurones. *J. Physiol.* 379, 429–449.
- Dringenberg, H.C., Souza-Silva, M.A., Rossmuller, J., Huston, J.P., Schwarting, R.K., 1998a. Histamine H1 receptor antagonists produce increases in extracellular acetylcholine in rat frontal cortex and hippocampus. *J. Neurochem.* 70, 1750–1758.
- Dringenberg, H.C., Souza-Silva, M.A., Schwarting, R.K., Huston, J.P., 1998b. Increased levels of extracellular dopamine in neostriatum and nucleus accumbens after histamine H1 receptor blockade. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 358, 423–429.

- Dunn, A.J., 1988. Stress-related changes in cerebral catecholamine and indoleamine metabolism: lack of effect of adrenalectomy and corticosterone. *J. Neurochem.* 51, 406–412.
- Engel, G., Maurer, R., Perrot, K., Richardson, B.P., 1985. Beta-adrenoceptor subtypes in sections of rat and guinea-pig kidney. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch. Pharmacol.* 328, 354–357.
- España, R.A., Berridge, C.W., 2006. Organization of noradrenergic efferents to arousal-related basal forebrain structures. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 496, 668–683.
- España, R.A., Baldo, B.A., Kelley, A.E., Berridge, C.W., 2001. Wake-promoting and sleep-suppressing actions of hypocretin (orexin): basal forebrain sites of action. *Neuroscience* 106, 699–715.
- Flicker, C., Geyer, M.A., 1982. The hippocampus as a possible site of action for increased locomotion during intracerebral infusions of norepinephrine. *Behav. Neural Biol.* 34, 421–426.
- Foote, S.L., Morrison, J.H., 1987. Extrathalamic modulation of cortical function. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 67–95.
- Foote, S.L., Bloom, F.E., Aston-Jones, G., 1983. Nucleus locus ceruleus: new evidence of anatomical and physiological specificity. *Physiol. Rev.* 63, 844–914.
- Foote, S.L., Aston-Jones, G., Bloom, F.E., 1980. Impulse activity of locus coeruleus neurons in awake rats and monkeys is a function of sensory stimulation and arousal. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 77, 3033–3037.
- Fort, P., Khateb, A., Pegna, A., Muhlethaler, M., Jones, B.E., 1995. Noradrenergic modulation of cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons demonstrated by in vitro pharmacological and immunohistochemical evidence in the guinea-pig brain. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 7, 1502–1511.
- Fritschy, J.M., Grzanna, R., 1992. Restoration of ascending noradrenergic projections by residual locus coeruleus neurons: compensatory response to neurotoxin-induced cell death in the adult rat brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 321, 421–441.
- Gallop, T., Fort, P., Eggermann, E., Cauli, B., Luppi, P.H., Rossier, J., Audinat, E., Muhlethaler, M., Serafin, M., 2000. Identification of sleep-promoting neurons in vitro. *Nature* 404, 992–995.
- Gatti, P.J., Hill, K.J., Da Silva, A.M., Norman, W.P., Gillis, R.A., 1988. Central nervous system site of action for the hypotensive effect of clonidine in the cat. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 245, 373–380.
- Gonzalez, M.M., Aston-Jones, G., 2006. Circadian regulation of arousal: role of the noradrenergic locus coeruleus system and light exposure. *Sleep* 29, 1327–1336.
- Gubits, R.M., Smith, T.M., Fairhurst, J.L., Yu, H., 1989. Adrenergic receptors mediate changes in c-fos mRNA levels in brain. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 6, 39–45.
- Hallman, H., Jonsson, G., 1984. Pharmacological modifications of the neurotoxic action of the noradrenaline neurotoxin DSP4 on central noradrenaline neurons. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 103, 269–278.
- Harik, S.I., Duckrow, R.B., LaManna, J.C., Rosenthal, M., Sharma, V.K., Banerjee, S.P., 1981. Cerebral compensation for chronic noradrenergic denervation induced by locus coeruleus lesion: recovery of receptor binding, isoproterenol-induced adenylate cyclase activity, and oxidative metabolism. *J. Neurosci.* 1, 641–649.
- Hobson, J.A., McCarley, R.W., Wyzinski, P.W., 1975. Sleep cycle oscillation: reciprocal discharge by two brainstem neuronal groups. *Science* 189, 55–58.
- Hurlemann, R., 2006. Noradrenergic control of emotion-induced amnesia and hypermnesia. *Rev. Neurosci.* 17, 525–532.
- Ino, T., Itoh, K., Kamiya, H., Shigemoto, R., Akiyoshi, I., Mizuno, N., 1988. Direct projections of non-pyramidal neurons of Ammon's horn to the supramammillary region in the cat. *Brain Res.* 460, 173–177.
- Isaac, S.O., Berridge, C.W., 2003. Wake-promoting actions of dopamine D1 and D2 receptor stimulation. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 307, 386–394.
- Itil, T.M., Itil, K.Z., 1983. Central mechanisms of clonidine and propranolol in man. *Quantitative Pharmacology-EEG with antihypertensive compounds.* Chest 83, 411–416.
- Jones, B.E., Bobillier, P., Pin, C., Jouvet, M., 1973. The effect of lesions of catecholamine-containing neurons upon monoamine content of the brain and EEG and behavioral waking in the cat. *Brain Res.* 58, 157–177.
- Jouvet, M., 1972. The role of monoamines and acetylcholine-containing neurons in the regulation of the sleep-waking cycle. *Ergeb. Physiol.* 64, 166–307.
- Krilowicz, B.L., Szymusiak, R., McGinty, D., 1994. Regulation of posterior lateral hypothalamic arousal related neuronal discharge by preoptic anterior hypothalamic warming. *Brain Res.* 668, 30–38.
- Kumar, V.M., Vetrivelan, R., Mallick, H.N., 2007. Noradrenergic afferents and receptors in the medial preoptic area: neuroanatomical and neurochemical links between the regulation of sleep and body temperature. *Neurochem. Int.* 50, 783–790.
- Kumar, V.M., Vetrivelan, R., Mallick, H.N., 2006. Alpha-1 adrenergic receptors in the medial preoptic area are involved in the induction of sleep. *Neurochem. Res.* 31, 1095–1102.
- Kumar, V.M., Sharma, R., Wadhwa, S., Manchanda, S.K., 1993. Sleep-inducing function of noradrenergic fibers in the medial preoptic area. *Brain Res. Bull.* 32, 153–158.
- Kumar, V., Datta, S., Chhina, G.S., Gandhi, N., Singh, B., 1984. Sleep-awake responses elicited from medial preoptic area on application of norepinephrine and phenoxybenzamine in free moving rats. *Brain Res.* 322, 322–325.
- Lapiz, M.D., Bondi, C.O., Morilak, D.A., 2007. Chronic treatment with desipramine improves cognitive performance of rats in an attentional set-shifting test. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1000–1010.
- Laverty, R., Taylor, K.M., 1969. Behavioural and biochemical effects of 2-(2,6-dichlorophenylamino)-2-imidazoline hydrochloride (St 155) on the central nervous system. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 35, 253–264.
- Lidbrink, P., 1974. The effect of lesions of ascending noradrenaline pathways on sleep and waking in the rat. *Brain Res.* 74, 19–40.
- Lidbrink, P., Fuxe, K., 1973. Effects of intracerebral injections of 6-hydroxydopamine on sleep and waking in the rat. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 25, 84–87.
- Lin, J.S., Roussel, B., Akaoka, H., Fort, P., Debilly, G., Jouvet, M., 1992. Role of catecholamines in the modafinil and amphetamine induced wakefulness, a comparative pharmacological study in the cat. *Brain Res.* 591, 319–326.
- Lin, J.S., Sakai, K., Jouvet, M., 1986. Role of hypothalamic histaminergic systems in the regulation of vigilance states in cats. *C. R. Acad. Sci. III* 303, 469–474.
- Logue, M.P., Growdon, J.H., Coviella, I.L., Wurtman, R.J., 1985. Differential effects of DSP-4 administration on regional brain norepinephrine turnover in rats. *Life Sci.* 37, 403–409.
- Loughlin, S.E., Foote, S.L., Grzanna, R., 1986. Efferent projections of nucleus locus coeruleus: morphologic subpopulations have different efferent targets. *Neuroscience* 18, 307–319.
- Makeig, S., Inlow, M., 1993. Lapses in alertness: coherence of fluctuations in performance and EEG spectrum. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 86, 23–35.
- Mallick, B.N., Alam, M.N., 1992. Different types of norepinephrine receptors are involved in preoptic area mediated independent modulation of sleep-wakefulness and body temperature. *Brain Res.* 591, 8–19.
- Manns, I.D., Lee, M.G., Modirrousta, M., Hou, Y.P., Jones, B.E., 2003. Alpha 2 adrenergic receptors on GABAergic, putative sleep-promoting basal forebrain neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 18, 723–727.
- Marinelli, M., Piazza, P.V., 2002. Interaction between glucocorticoid hormones, stress and psychostimulant drugs. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 387–394.

- Marston, H.M., West, H.L., Wilkinson, L.S., Everitt, B.J., Robbins, T.W., 1994. Effects of excitotoxic lesions of the septum and vertical limb nucleus of the diagonal band of Broca on conditional visual discrimination: relationship between performance and choline acetyltransferase activity in the cingulate cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 2009–2019.
- McCarley, R.W., Benoit, O., Barrionuevo, G., 1983. Lateral geniculate nucleus unitary discharge in sleep and waking: state- and rate-specific aspects. *J. Neurophysiol.* 50, 798–818.
- McCormick, D.A., 1989. Cholinergic and noradrenergic modulation of thalamocortical processing. *Trends Neurosci.* 12, 215–221.
- McCormick, D.A., Bal, T., 1997. Sleep and arousal: thalamocortical mechanisms. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 20, 185–215.
- McCormick, D.A., Prince, D.A., 1988. Noradrenergic modulation of firing pattern in guinea pig and cat thalamic neurons, in vitro. *J. Neurophysiol.* 59, 978–996.
- McCormick, D.A., Pape, H.C., Williamson, A., 1991. Actions of norepinephrine in the cerebral cortex and thalamus: implications for function of the central noradrenergic system. *Prog. Brain Res.* 88, 293–305.
- McGaugh, J.L., 2000. Memory — a century of consolidation. *Science* 287, 248–251.
- McGinty, D., Metes, A., Alam, M.N., Megirian, D., Stewart, D., Szymusiak, R., 2004. Preoptic hypothalamic warming suppresses laryngeal dilator activity during sleep. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul., Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 286, R1129–R1137.
- Meibach, R.C., Siegel, A., 1977. Efferent connections of the septal area in the rat: an analysis utilizing retrograde and anterograde transport methods. *Brain Res.* 119, 1–20.
- Metherate, R., Cox, C.L., Ashe, J.H., 1992. Cellular bases of neocortical activation: modulation of neural oscillations by the nucleus basalis and endogenous acetylcholine. *J. Neurosci.* 12, 4701–4711.
- Milstein, J.A., Lehmann, O., Theobald, D.E., Dalley, J.W., Robbins, T.W., 2007. Selective depletion of cortical noradrenaline by anti-dopamine beta-hydroxylase-saporin impairs attentional function and enhances the effects of guanfacine in the rat. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 190, 51–63.
- Minneman, K.P., Hegstrand, L.R., Molinoff, P.B., 1979. Pharmacological specificity of beta-1 and beta-2 adrenergic-receptors in rat-heart and lung in vitro. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 16, 21–33.
- Mochizuki, T., Yamatodani, A., Okakura, K., Horii, A., Inagaki, N., Wada, H., 1992. Circadian rhythm of histamine release from the hypothalamus of freely moving rats. *Physiol. Behav.* 51, 391–394.
- Modirrousta, M., Mainville, L., Jones, B.E., 2004. Gabaergic neurons with alpha2-adrenergic receptors in basal forebrain and preoptic area express c-Fos during sleep. *Neuroscience* 129, 803–810.
- Mogilnicka, E., 1986. Increase in beta- and alpha 1-adrenoceptor binding sites in the rat brain and in the alpha 1-adrenoceptor functional sensitivity after the DSP-4-induced noradrenergic denervation. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 25, 743–746.
- Moruzzi, G., Magoun, H.W., 1949. Brain stem reticular formation and activation of the EEG. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 1, 455–473.
- Mukhametov, L.M., Rizzolatti, G., Seitun, A., 1970a. An analysis of the spontaneous activity of lateral geniculate neurons and of optic tract fibers in free moving cats. *Arch. Ital. Biol.* 108, 325–347.
- Mukhametov, L.M., Rizzolatti, G., Tradardi, V., 1970b. Spontaneous activity of neurones of nucleus reticularis thalami in freely moving cats. *J. Physiol.* 210, 651–667.
- Nelson, L.E., Lu, J., Guo, T., Saper, C.B., Franks, N.P., Maze, M., 2003. The alpha2-adrenoceptor agonist dexmedetomidine converges on an endogenous sleep-promoting pathway to exert its sedative effects. *Anesthesiology* 98, 428–436.
- Nisoli, E., Carruba, M.O., 1997. Pharmacological properties of beta (3)-adrenoceptors. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 18, 257–258.
- Osaka, T., Matsumura, H., 1995. Noradrenaline inhibits preoptic sleep-active neurons through alpha 2-receptors in the rat. *Neurosci. Res.* 21, 323–330.
- Page, M.E., Berridge, C.W., Foote, S.L., Valentino, R.J., 1993. Corticotropin-releasing factor in the locus coeruleus mediates EEG activation associated with hypotensive stress. *Neurosci. Lett.* 164, 81–84.
- Page, M.E., Akaoka, H., Aston-Jones, G., Valentino, R.J., 1992. Bladder distention activates noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons by an excitatory amino acid mechanism. *Neuroscience* 51, 555–563.
- Pape, H.C., McCormick, D.A., 1989. Noradrenaline and serotonin selectively modulate thalamic burst firing by enhancing a hyperpolarization-activated cation current. *Nature* 340, 715–718.
- Raskind, M.A., Peskind, E.R., Hoff, D.J., Hart, K.L., Holmes, H.A., Warren, D., Shofer, J., O'Connell, J., Taylor, F., Gross, C., Rohde, K., McFall, M.E., 2007. A parallel group placebo controlled study of prazosin for trauma nightmares and sleep disturbance in combat veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 61, 928–934.
- Robinson, T.E., Whishaw, I.Q., 1988. Normalization of extracellular dopamine in striatum following recovery from a partial unilateral 6-OHDA lesion of the substantia nigra: a microdialysis study in freely moving rats. *Brain Res.* 450, 209–224.
- Robinson, T.E., Mocsary, Z., Camp, D.M., Whishaw, I.Q., 1994. Time course of recovery of extracellular dopamine following partial damage to the nigrostriatal dopamine system. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 2687–2696.
- Robinson, T.E., Castaneda, E., Whishaw, I.Q., 1990. Compensatory changes in striatal dopamine neurons following recovery from injury induced by 6-OHDA or methamphetamine: a review of evidence from microdialysis studies. *Can. J. Psychol.* 44, 253–275.
- Saper, C.B., 1984. Organization of cerebral cortical afferent systems in the rat. II. Magnocellular basal nucleus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 222, 313–324.
- Saper, C.B., Scammell, T.E., Lu, J., 2005. Hypothalamic regulation of sleep and circadian rhythms. *Nature* 437, 1257–1263.
- Sarter, M., Bruno, J.P., 1999. Abnormal regulation of corticopetal cholinergic neurons and impaired information processing in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Trends Neurosci.* 22, 67–74.
- Segal, D.S., Mandell, A.J., 1970. Behavioral activation of rats during intraventricular infusion of norepinephrine. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 66, 289–293.
- Selye, H., 1946. The general adaptation syndrome and the diseases of adaptation. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 6, 117–230.
- Silvestri, A.J., Kapp, B.S., 1998. Amygdaloid modulation of mesopontine peribrachial neuronal activity: implications for arousal. *Behav. Neurosci.* 112, 571–588.
- Skolnick, P., Stalvey, L.P., Daly, J.W., Hoyle, E., Davis, J.N., 1978. Binding of alpha- and beta-adrenergic ligands to cerebral cortical membranes: effect of 6-hydroxydopamine treatment and relationship to the responsiveness of cyclic amp-generating systems in two rat strains. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 47, 201–210.
- Sood, S., Dhawan, J.K., Ramesh, V., John, J., Gopinath, G., Kumar, V.M., 1997. Role of medial preoptic area beta adrenoceptors in the regulation of sleep-wakefulness. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 57, 1–5.
- Sporn, J.R., Wolfe, B.B., Harden, T.K., Molinoff, P.B., 1977. Supersensitivity in rat cerebral cortex: pre- and postsynaptic effects of 6-hydroxydopamine at noradrenergic synapses. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 13, 1170–1180.
- Stevens, D.R., McCarley, R.W., Greene, R.W., 1994. The mechanism of noradrenergic alpha 1 excitatory modulation of pontine reticular formation neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 14, 6481–6487.
- Stevens, D.R., Birnstiel, S., Gerber, U., McCarley, R.W., Greene, R.W., 1993. Nicotinic depolarizations of rat medial pontine reticular formation neurons studied in vitro. *Neuroscience* 57, 419–424.

- Stewart, D.J., MacFabe, D.F., Leung, L.W., 1985. Topographical projection of cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain to the cingulate cortex in the rat. *Brain Res.* 358, 404–407.
- Stone, E.A., Zhang, Y., Hiller, J.M., Simon, E.J., Hillman, D.E., 1997. Activation of fos in mouse amygdala by local infusion of norepinephrine or atipamezole. *Brain Res.* 778, 1–5.
- Stone, E.A., Zhang, Y., 1995. Adrenoceptor antagonists block c-fos response to stress in the mouse brain. *Brain Res.* 694, 279–286.
- Stone, E.A., Zhang, Y., John, S., Filer, D., Bing, G., 1993. Effect of locus coeruleus lesion on c-fos expression in the cerebral cortex caused by yohimbine injection or stress. *Brain Res.* 603, 181–185.
- Stone, E.A., Zhang, Y., John, S.M., Bing, G., 1991. c-Fos response to administration of catecholamines into brain by microdialysis. *Neurosci. Lett.* 133, 33–35.
- Stone, E.A., McEwen, B.S., Herrera, A.S., Carr, K.D., 1987. Regulation of alpha and beta components of noradrenergic cyclic AMP response in cortical slices. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 141, 347–356.
- Sutcliffe, J.G., de Lecea, L., 2002. The hypocretins: setting the arousal threshold. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 3, 339–349.
- Swanson, L.W., Cowan, W.M., 1979. The connections of the septal region in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 186, 621–656.
- Swanson, L.W., Cowan, W.M., 1977. An autoradiographic study of the organization of the efferent connections of the hippocampal formation in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 172, 49–84.
- Szymusiak, R., Gvilia, I., McGinty, D., 2007. Hypothalamic control of sleep. *Sleep Med.* 8, 291–301.
- Taylor, F., Raskind, M.A., 2002. The alpha1-adrenergic antagonist prazosin improves sleep and nightmares in civilian trauma posttraumatic stress disorder. *J. Clin. Psychopharmacol.* 22, 82–85.
- Timo-Iaria, C., Negro, N., Schmidek, W.R., Hoshino, K., Lobato de Menezes, C.E., Leme, d.R., 1970. Phases and states of sleep in the rat. *Physiol. Behav.* 5, 1057–1062.
- Tononi, G., Pompeiano, M., Pompeiano, O., 1989. Modulation of desynchronized sleep through microinjection of beta-adrenergic agonists and antagonists in the dorsal pontine tegmentum of the cat. *Pflugers Arch.* 415, 142–149.
- Tononi, G., Pompeiano, M., Pompeiano, O., 1988. Desynchronized sleep suppression after microinjection of the beta-adrenergic agonist isoproterenol in the dorsal pontine tegmentum. *Arch. Ital. Biol.* 126, 125–128.
- Trampus, M., Ongini, E., 1990. The D1 dopamine receptor antagonist SCH 23390 enhances REM sleep in the rat. *Neuropharmacology* 29, 889–893.
- Valentino, R.J., Curtis, A.L., Page, M.E., Pavcovich, L.A., Florin-Lechner, S.M., 1998. Activation of the locus ceruleus brain noradrenergic system during stress: circuitry, consequences, and regulation. *Adv. Pharmacol.* 42, 781–784.
- Valentino, R.J., Page, M.E., Curtis, A.L., 1991. Activation of noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons by hemodynamic stress is due to local release of corticotropin-releasing factor. *Brain Res.* 555, 25–34.
- Vanderwolf, C.H., 1988. Cerebral activity and behavior: control by central cholinergic and serotonergic systems. *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 30, 225–340.
- Vanderwolf, C.H., Robinson, T.E., 1981. Reticulo-cortical activity and behavior: a critique of the arousal theory and a new synthesis. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 4, 459–514.
- Vetrivelan, R., Mallick, H.N., Kumar, V.M., 2006a. Sleep induction and temperature lowering by medial preoptic alpha(1) adrenergic receptors. *Physiol. Behav.* 87, 707–713.
- Vetrivelan, R., Mallick, H.N., Kumar, V.M., 2006b. Tonic activity of alpha1 adrenergic receptors of the medial preoptic area contributes towards increased sleep in rats. *Neuroscience* 139, 1141–1151.
- Vetrivelan, R., Mallick, H.N., Kumar, V.M., 2005. Unmasking of alpha1 adrenoceptor induced hypnogenic response from medial preoptic area. *Physiol. Behav.* 84, 641–650.
- Von Economo, C., 1930. Sleep as a problem of localization. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 71, 249–259.
- Walaas, I., Fonnum, F., 1980. Biochemical evidence for glutamate as a transmitter in hippocampal efferents to the basal forebrain and hypothalamus in the rat brain. *Neuroscience* 5, 1691–1698.
- Waterman, A., Livingston, A., Bouchenafa, O., 1988. Analgesic effects of intrathecally-applied alpha 2-adrenoceptor agonists in conscious, unrestrained sheep. *Neuropharmacology* 27, 213–216.
- Yerkes, R.M., Dodson, J.D., 1908. The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habit-formation. *J. Comp. Neurol. Psychol.* 18, 459–482.
- Yoshida, K., McCormack, S., Espana, R.A., Crocker, A., Scammell, T.E., 2005. Afferents to the orexin neurons of the rat brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 494, 845–861.
- Zaborszky, L., 1989. Afferent connections of the forebrain cholinergic projection neurons, with special reference to monoaminergic and peptidergic fibers. In: Frotscher, M., Misgel, U. (Eds.), *Central Cholinergic Synaptic Transmission*. Basel, Birkhauser, pp. 12–32.
- Zaborszky, L., Cullinan, W.E., 1996. Direct catecholaminergic-cholinergic interactions in the basal forebrain. I. Dopamine-beta-hydroxylase- and tyrosine hydroxylase input to cholinergic neurons. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 374, 535–554.
- Zaborszky, L., Cullinan, W.E., Braun, A., 1991. Afferents to basal forebrain cholinergic projection neurons: an update. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 295, 43–100.